Case.net: 1922-CC00291 - Docket Entries Page 1 of 2

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 1 of 57 PageID #: 8



Header	Attorne	eys E	ntries	& Sentences	Information	Due	Hearings	& Inals	Judgments	Execution	
			This	information is provided a	as a service and is r	not consid	dered an offic	cial court red	ord.		
Click here	to eFile	on C	ase	S	ort Date Entrie	s: 💿 D	escending	Display	Options:	II Entries	
Click here	to Res	pond	to Sele	ected Documents		\bigcirc A	scending		P	ii Entities	
02/26/201	9 🗌 J	lury T	rial Sc	heduled							
		Sch	redule	d For: 01/06/2020;	9:00 AM; REX	M BUF	RLISON; (City of St.	Louis		
00/44/00	• 🗆			0. 5 0 0/0							
02/11/201				Circ Pers Serv O/S 19-SMOS-723, for							
				Circ Pers Serv O/S		<i>,</i> .					
				19-SMOS-722, for	•'						
				Circ Pers Serv O/S							
				19-SMOS-721, for		ИАСEU	TICALS IN	۱C.			
				Circ Pers Serv O/S							
				19-SMOS-720, for		SA.					
		Summ	Issd-	Circ Pers Serv O/S							
		Docum	ent ID:	19-SMOS-719, for	JOHNSON & JOHNSO	ORNAC	DN.				
	_			Circ Pers Serv O/S							
				19-SMOS-718, for		FREDE	RICK CO	MPANY.			
				Circ Pers Serv O/S			_				
				19-SMOS-717, for	PURDUE PHAF	RMA L	P.				
				sued-Circuit	- DUIADMA INC						
				19-SMCC-1245, fo	r Pharma inc						
				sued-Circuit 19-SMCC-1244, fo	r INISVS						
				sued-Circuit	1 111010.						
				19-SMCC-1243, fo	r ACTAVIS I I C	: ACTA	VIS PHAR	MA INC.			
				sued-Circuit							
				19-SMCC-1242, fo	r WATSON LAE	BORAT	ORIES.				
		Summ	ons Is	sued-Circuit							
		Docum	ent ID:	19-SMCC-1241, fo	r WATSON PH	ARMAC	EUTICAL	S INC.			
				sued-Circuit							
				19-SMCC-1240, fo	r NORAMCO, II	VC					
				sued-Circuit			.==				
				19-SMCC-1239, fo	r JANSSEN PH	ARMA	CEUTICA	INC.			
				sued-Circuit 19-SMCC-1238, fo	r ODTHO MON	⊏ II I∧1	NGGENI DI		ELITICAL S II	NC	
				sued-Circuit	I OKTITIO-IVICIN	LIL-J/AI	NOOLINII	IAINIACI		NO.	
				19-SMCC-1237, fo	r JANSSEN PH	ARMA	CEUTICAL	S INC.			
				sued-Circuit							
	_			19-SMCC-1236, fo	r TEVA PHARM	1ACEU	TICALS U	SA.			
				sued-Circuit							
	_			19-SMCC-1235, fo	r SPECGX LLC						

Exhibit A

Page 2 of 2 Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 2 of 57 PageID #: 9 □ Summons Issued-Circuit Document ID: 19-SMCC-1234, for MALLINCKRODT LLC. **Summons Issued-Circuit** ■ Document ID: 19-SMCC-1233, for MALLINCKRODT PLC. ☐ Filing Info Sheet eFiling Filed By: JEFFREY J LOWE ☐ Pet Filed in Circuit Ct Petition. Filed By: JEFFREY J LOWE On Behalf Of: PATRICIA KUEPFER ☐ Judge Assigned

Case.net: 1922-CC00291 - Docket Entries

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 3 of 1920-000291

MISSOURI CIRCUIT COURT TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ST. LOUIS CITY

PATRICIA KUEPFER, Individually and as Surviving Mother of KRISTEEN OETTLE, deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

MALLINCKRODT PLC

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

MALLINCKRODT LLC

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

SPECGX LLC

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

PURDUE PHARMA L.P.

Serve: The Prentice-Hall Corporation 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808

THE PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY

Serve: The Prentice-Hall Corporation 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.

Serve: Corporate Creations Network Inc. 12747 Olive Blvd., Ste. 300 St. Louis, MO 63141 Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 4 of 57 PageID #: 11

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

JOHNSON & JOHNSON

Serve: One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933

ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. N/K/A JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA INC. N/K/A JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Serve: CT Corporation

120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

NORAMCO, INC.

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

ALLERGAN USA

Serve: 5 Giralda Farms Madison, NJ 07940

WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS,

INC. N/K/A ACTAVIS, INC.

Serve: Corporate Creations Network Inc. 12747 Olive Blvd., Ste. 300

St. Louis, MO 63141

WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.

Serve: Corporate Creations Network

Inc.

12747 Olive Blvd., Ste. 300

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 5 of 57 PageID #: 12

St. Louis, MO 63141

ACTAVIS LLC, ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC. F/K/A WATSON PHARMA, INC., Serve: Corporate Creations Network

Inc.

12747 Olive Blvd., Ste. 300 St. Louis, MO 63141

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Serve: Corporation Service Company 600 N. 2nd St., Ste. 401 Harrisburg, PA 17101

MYLAN N.V.

Serve: Corporation Service Company 600 N. 2nd St., Ste. 401 Harrisburg, PA 17101

DEPOMED, INC.

Serve: Arthur J. Higgins
7999 Gateway Blvd., Ste. 300

Newark, CA 94560

INSYS

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

PHARMA, INC., OPERATING AS INSYS THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Serve: CT Corporation 120 S. Central St. Louis, MO 63105

JOHN DOES 1-50 Serve: Hold Service

Defendants.

PETITION

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF Patricia Kuepfer, Individually and as Surviving Mother of Kristeen Oettle, deceased (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), and by and through his attorneys, allege as follows:

Nature of the Action

Electronically Filed - City of St. Louis - February 11, 2019 - 10:34 AM

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants Mallinckrodt PLC, Mallinckrodt LLC, SpecGX, LLC, Purdue Pharma, L.P., The Purdue Frederick Company Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Janssen Pharmaceutical Inc., n/k/a Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Noramco, Inc., Allergan PLC, f/k/a Actavis PLC, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., n/k/a Actavis, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, Actavis Pharma, Inc., Actavis Pharma, Inc., f/k/a Watson Pharma, Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Mylan N.V., DepoMed, Inc., Insys, Pharma, Inc., Operating as Insys Therapeutics, Inc., John Does 1-50 (hereinafter "Defendant Drug Manufacturers") and/or (hereinafter "Physician Defendants) for personal injuries suffered by Decedent Kristeen Oettle as a direct and proximate result of being prescribed opiate pain-relieving medications, including, but not limited to, Hydrocodone, OxyContin, Oxycodone, and Tramadol (hereinafter "medications"), over an extended period of time.
- 2. The medications prescribed and administered to Kristeen Oettle were designed, developed, manufactured, tested, packaged, promoted, marketed, distributed, labeled and/or sold by Defendants.
- 3. Kristeen Oettle's ingestion of the medications over an extended period of time caused her to develop an addiction to opioids. These medications have an addiction forming or addiction sustaining quality. As a result, Kristeen Oettle was injured and subsequently died from an overdose.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 7 of 57 PageID #: 14

Parties

- 4. Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer is a citizen and resident of St. Louis, Missouri. He is the surviving mother of Decedent Kristeen Oettle.
- 5. Defendant Mallinckrodt PLC is an Irish public limited company. Mallinckrodt PLC's headquarters are in the United Kingdom. Mallinckrodt LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and licensed to do business in Missouri. Mallinckrodt LLC's headquarters are in St. Louis, MO. Consequently, Mallinckrodt LLC is a citizen of the state of Missouri for diversity of jurisdiction purposes. Mallinckrodt LLC may be served at CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Ave, Saint Louis, MO 63105. Mallinckrodt LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mallinckrodt, PLC. SpecGX LLC is a limited liability company existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and licensed to do business in Missouri. SpecGx LLC may be served at CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Ave, Saint Louis, MO 63105. SpecGx LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mallinckrodt plc. Mallinckrodt LLC and SpecGx LLC are licensed drug distributors in Missouri and operate distribution centers in Missouri. Mallinckrodt, plc, Mallinckrodt LLC, and SpecGx LLC are referred to collectively as "Mallinckrodt."
- 6. Purdue Pharma L.P. is a limited partnership organized under the laws of Delaware. Purdue Pharma Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Stamford, Connecticut, and The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Stamford, Connecticut.
- 7. Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries USA, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Teva Ltd., an Israeli corporation. Teva USA is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Pennsylvania. Teva USA acquired Cephalon in October 2011. Teva USA can be

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 8 of 57 PageID #: 15

served at Corporation Creations Network, Inc., 12747 Olive Blvd., Ste. 300, St. Louis, MO 63141.

- 8. Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in Titusville, New Jersey and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business in New Brunswick, New Jersey. Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. was formerly known as Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which in turn was formerly known as Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. Defendant Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., now known as Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in Titusville, New Jersey. Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc., now known as Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in Titusville, New Jersey.
- 9. Noramco is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Wilmington, Delaware and was a wholly owned subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson until July 2016 when it was sold and was responsible for processing and manufacturing the active ingredients in Johnson & Johnson and Janssen's opioid products and is a manufacturer of opioid products.
- 10. DepoMed, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Newark, California. Depomed describes itself as a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on pain and other central nervous system (CNS) conditions. DepoMed develops, markets, and sells prescription drugs in Missouri and nationally.
- 11. Allergan PLC is a public limited company incorporated in Ireland with its principal place of business in Dublin, Ireland. Actavis PLC acquired Allergan PLC in March 2015, and the combined company changed its name to Allergan PLC in March 2015. Prior to that, Watson Pharmaceuticals acquired Actavis, Inc. in October 2012; the combined company

changed its name to Actavis, Inc. as of January 2013 and then to Actavis plc in October 2013. Watson Laboratories, Inc. is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Corona, California, and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Allergan PLC (f/k/a Actavis, Inc., f/k/a Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.). Actavis Pharma, Inc. (f/k/a Actavis, Inc.) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey, and was formerly known as Watson Pharma, Inc. Actavie LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Parsippany, New Jersey. Each of these defendants is owned by Allergan PLC, which uses them to market and sell its drugs in the United States. Upon information and belief, Allergan PLC exercises control over these marketing and sales efforts, and profits from the sale of Allergan/Actavis products ultimately inure to its benefit. (Allergan PLC, Actavis PLC, Actavis, Inc., Actavis LLC, Actavis Pharma, Inc., Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Pharma, Inc., and Watson Laboratories, Inc.

- 12. Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a Dutch corporation headquartered in Canonsburg, Pennsylvania. Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mylan N.V., a Dutch corporation headquartered in Canonsburg, Pennsylvania.
- 13. Insys Pharma, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Arizona and operating in Missouri as Insys Therapeutics, Inc. Insys Pharma, Inc. and Insys Therapeutics, Inc. may be served at CT Corporation System, 120 S. Central Ave., St. Louis, MO 63105.
 - 14. John Does 1-50.

COUNT I MEDICAL MALPRACTICE (PHYSICIAN DEFENDANTS)

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 10 of 57 PageID #: 17

COMES NOW Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of decedent Kristeen

Oettle, by and through undersigned counsel, and for his Medical Malpractice Wrongful Death

Petition against Physician Defendants, alleges and states as follows:

- 15. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the above allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. During the course of treatment referenced herein, Physician Defendants committed the following acts of negligence and failed to use that degree of skill and learning ordinarily used under the same or similar circumstances by members of his profession, in that Physician Defendants:
 - a. Failed to properly evaluate and diagnose decedent;
 - b. Failed to conduct the proper diagnostic tests and exams;
 - c. Failed to properly treat decedent, namely her pain;
 - d. Failed to recognize the danger of prescribing high quantities of various opiate-based pain-relief medications over a long period of time;
 - e. Failed to prescribe or recommend medical treatment other than opioids;
 - f. Failed to properly educate and warn decedent of the risk potential of abuse, misuse and addiction with opioids;
 - g. Failed to follow known medical guidelines regarding the prescription of opioids.
 - h. Prescribed too much opioids or failed to reduce the number of opioids prescribed to decedent, which led to her death;
 - i. Failed to pursue available pain control methods which were less dangerous and addictive;
 - j. Failed to recognize, diagnose, and treat decedent's addiction; and
 - k. Failed to properly monitor decedent's drug use.

- 18. Physician Defendants' negligence and carelessness as set forth above directly caused, or directly contributed to cause, decedent's addiction to opioids, her pain and suffering, and ultimately her death.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of one, several, or all of the foregoing acts of negligence on the part of Physician Defendants, Plaintiff claims such damages as the trier of fact may deem fair and just for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen Oettle, requests judgment against Physician Defendants, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090 and for any further just and proper relief.

COUNT II NEGLIGENCE PER SE – ILLEGAL DIVERSION (DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates the allegations previously set forth herein.
- 21. At all times mentioned herein Defendant Drug Manufacturers were under a duty to exercise due care in the reasonable care in the manufacturing and distribution of their schedule II narcotic product opioids.
- 22. Missouri and Federal law mandate that the Defendant Drug Manufacturers implement effective controls and procedures in their supply chains to guard against theft, diversion and the abuse of prescription opioids, and Defendants failed to adequately design and operate a system to detect, halt and report suspicious orders of prescription opioids. (See MO. 20 CSR 2220-5.060 and USC sect. 801 et seq).

Electronically Filed - City of St. Louis - February 11, 2019 - 10:34 AM

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 12 of 57 PageID #: 19

- That these laws were implemented to protect the population of cities and counties, and that by failing to report, control, and set up a system of controls, Defendant Drug

 Manufacturers harmed the very people the laws were meant to protect.
- 24. As a result, Defendant Drug Manufacturers negligently disseminated massive quantities of prescription opioids available to Decedent. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions and failure to act transferred legal prescription drugs from lawful to unlawful channel of distribution or use.
- 25. As a result of their failure, Plaintiff has been overwhelmed by the illegal opioid market, creating an addiction problem leading to death and economic damages of Decedent.
- 26. Defendant Drug Manufacturers negligently distributed huge amounts of opioids into the illegal street market, acting as a supply to illegal drug dealers, allowing these pills to be illegally trafficked and sold.
- 27. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions were a substantial factor in making opioids widely available and widely used. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions were a substantial factor in doctors and patients not accurately assessing and weighing the risks and benefits of opioids for chronic pain. Without the Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions, opioid use would not have become so widespread, and the enormous public health hazard of opioid overuse, abuse and addiction that now exists would have been averted.
- 28. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers also knowingly, intentionally, recklessly, and/or negligently funded massive quantities of prescription opioids to physicians and other prescribers who they knew or should have known wrote suspicious prescriptions and/or wrote prescriptions for known abusers of prescription opioids.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 13 of 57 PageID #: 20

- 29. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers knowingly, intentionally, recklessly, and/or negligently disseminated prescription opioids to distributors who they knew or should have known failed to implement effective controls and procedures to guard against theft, diversion and abuse of prescription opioids.
- 30. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers also knowingly enabled and/or failed to prevent the illegal diversion of prescription opioids into the black market, including "pill mills" known for providing opioids to drug abusers, and known drug dealers, knowing that such opioids would be illegally trafficked and abused.
- 31. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers knowingly and intentionally incentivized the PBM Defendants to place their opioids on the PBMs formularies irrespective of medical necessity, resulting in widespread and unnecessary overuse.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of Defendant Drug Manufacturers, Decedent suffered from physical and mental injuries and death. The full extent of the destruction caused by the misrepresentations of these schedule II drugs, has not been quantified as of yet because the loss of human lives, resources devoted to administering and trying to save those lives and costs for the dealing with the problem is so deep and far reaching, and as of yet have not been fully identified. As a direct and proximate result from the aforesaid conduct of Defendant Drug Manufacturers, Decedent became addicted to opioid medicines and died.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen

Oettle, requests judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers, for a sum in excess of the

jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 14 of 57 PageID #: 21

Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090 and for any further just and proper relief.

COUNT III NEGLIGENCE (DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates the allegations previously set forth herein.
- 34. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant Drug Manufacturers were under a duty to exercise reasonable care in advertising, marketing, promotion and labeling of their opioid products to ensure that the use of their products did not result in avoidable injuries.
- 35. Decedent's injuries as described herein were caused by the duties under Missouri state and federal law and the breach of Defendant Drug Manufacturers working with one another, in concert with each other, acting within the course and scope of their employment, including among other things.
 - a. Carelessly and negligently researching, manufacturing, selling, merchandising, advertising, promoting, labeling, analyzing, testing, distributing, and marketing their opioid products;
 - b. Failing to fully disclose the results of the testing and other information in its possession regarding the possibility opioids were addictive and subjecting a user to withdrawal symptoms;
 - c. Knew that withdrawal was not easily managed and failed to instruct this;
 - d. That OxyContin was in fact not a 12 hour relief pain pill, and that instructing doctors to up the dosage to reach 12 hours increased the likelihood that a patient would become addicted to the drug, thereby increasing the dangers from higher doses of opioids;
 - e. That opioids had adverse effects and failing to warn that opioids do not increase function, and in fact leads to lesser function in the patient; and
- 36. At all times mentioned herein mentioned, upon information and belief, the above described culpable conduct by Defendant Drug Manufacturers was a proximate cause of

Plaintiff's damages. Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew or should have known that opioids would have the devastating impact that it has had on the Decedent, and could be dangerous and unsafe for the Decedent and the failure to report diversions and over prescribing would result in this opioid epidemic.

- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of Defendant Drug Manufacturers, Decedent suffered damages as set forth Intra. The full extent of the destruction caused by the misrepresentations of these schedule II drugs quantified as of yet because the loss of human lives, resources devoted to administering and trying to save those lives and costs for the dealing with the problem is so deep and far reaching, and as of yet have not been fully identified. As a direct and proximate result from the aforesaid conduct of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct, Decedent became addicted to opioid medicines and died.
- 38. The forgoing actions of Defendant Drug Manufacturers were done with reckless indifference to Decedent, justifying an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen Oettle, requests judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090 and for any further just and proper relief.

COUNT IV FRAUD IN THE OMISSION (DRUG DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

- 39. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates the allegations previously set forth herein.
- 40. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers, having undertaken the development, manufacturing, marketing, dispensing, distribution, and promotion of their various opioid

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 16 of 57 PageID #: 23

products as described herein, owed a duty to provide accurate and complete information regarding these products.

- 41. The Defendant Drug Manufacturers through their use of front groups, key opinion leaders (hereinafter "KOLS") and advertising perpetuated to the Decedent and the treating physicians omitted to disclose material facts about the lack of evidence of safety and efficacy for treating chronic pain and the addictiveness of opioids. (See Petition at ¶108-129, 135, 141-159, 446-458).
- 42. At all times pertinent the Defendant Drug Manufacturers acted within a concert of action in that their deceptive omissions misrepresented the true nature of their opioid products, were done with a common intent and purpose to deceive Decedent and treating physicians and their deceptive omissions were an efficient cause and contributing to the damage of Plaintiff.
- 43. Defendant Drug Manufacturers acted together and jointly in their marketing, advertising and distribution by and through the use of KOLS, and bogus front organizations funded by Defendant Drug Manufacturers to perpetuate the following material omissions that were material and which were relied upon by residents and treating physicians:
 - a. They omitted that in the use of opioids that sustained exposure would deteriorate the patients function, knowing that long term use would lead to less function;
 - b. Concealed the link between long term use and addiction;
 - c. Concealed the fact that there were no studies showing that opioids were a safe and effective treatment for chronic pain;
 - d. Omitted the material fact that withdrawal symptoms for a patient were harsh, debilitating and a problem for most users, and the Defendants never advised of such;
 - e. Omitted the fact that opioid use could lead to addiction and possibly death; and

Electronically Filed - City of St. Louis - February 11, 2019 - 10:34 AM

- 44. These omissions were material to the Decedent.
- 45. The aforementioned omissions were reasonably relied upon by treating physicians and Decedent.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of Defendant Drug Manufacturers, Decedent suffered injuries including but not limited to severe opioid addiction ultimately leading to death.
- 47. As a direct result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions Plaintiff had to expend funds for health, medical examiner costs (autopsies), drug treatment and education, etc.
- 48. The forgoing actions of Defendant Drug Manufacturers were done with evil motive or with reckless indifference to Decedent, justifying an award of punitive damages.
- 49. Defendant Drug Manufacturers have caused a significant and unreasonable interference with the public health, safety, welfare, peace, comfort and convenience, and ability to be free from disturbance and reasonable apprehension of danger to person or property.
- 50. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct in illegally distributing and selling prescription opioids, or causing such opioids to be distributed and sold, where Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew, or reasonably should know, such opioids will be diverted and possessed and/or used illegally.
- 51. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions have been of a continuing nature and have produced a significant effect upon the public's rights, including the public's right to health and safety.
- 52. A violation of any rule or law controlling the distribution of a drug of abuse is a public nuisance.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 18 of 57 PageID #: 25

- 53. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' distribution of opioids while failing to maintain effective controls against diversion was proscribed by statute and regulation.
- 54. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' ongoing conduct produces an ongoing nuisance, as the prescription opioids that they allow and/or cause to be illegally distributed and possessed will be diverted, leading to abuse, addiction, crime, and public health costs.
- 55. Because of the continued use and addiction caused by these illegally distributed opioids, the public will continue to fear for its health, safety and welfare, and will be subjected to conduct that creates a disturbance and reasonable apprehension of danger to person and property.
- 56. Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew, or reasonably should know, that their conduct will have an ongoing detrimental effect upon the public health, safety and welfare, and the public's ability to be free from disturbance and reasonable apprehension of danger to person and property.
- 57. Defendant Drug Manufacturers know, or reasonably should know, that their conduct causes an unreasonable invasion of the public right to health, safety and welfare and the public's ability to be free from disturbance and reasonable apprehension of danger to person and property.
- 58. Defendant Drug Manufacturers are aware, and at a bare minimum certainly should have been aware, of the unreasonable interference that their conduct has caused.

 Defendant Drug Manufacturers are in the business of manufacturing, marketing, selling, and distributing prescription drugs, including opioids, which are specifically known to Defendant Drug Manufacturers to be dangerous under state and federal law.
- 59. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct in marketing, distributing, selling and filling prescription opioids which the Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew, or reasonably should

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 19 of 57 PageID #: 26

know, will likely be diverted for non-legitimate, non-medical use, creates a strong likelihood that these illegal distributions of opioids will cause death and injuries..

- 60. It is, or should be, reasonably foreseeable to Defendant Drug Manufacturers that their conduct will cause deaths and injuries, and will otherwise significantly and unreasonably interfere with public health, safety and welfare, and with the public's right to be free from disturbance and reasonable apprehension of danger to person and property.
- 61. The prevalence and availability of diverted prescription opioids in the hands of irresponsible persons and persons with criminal purposes not only causes deaths and injuries, but also creates a palpable climate of fear where opioid diversion, abuse, addiction are prevalent and where diverted opioids tend to be used frequently.
- 62. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct makes it easier for persons to divert prescription opioids, constituting a dangerous threat to the public.
- 63. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions were, at the least, a cause or contributing cause in opioids becoming widely available and widely used for non-medical purposes. Because of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' special positions within the closed system of opioid distribution, without Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions, opioid use would not have become so widespread, and the enormous public health hazard of prescription opioid and heroin overuse, abuse, and addiction that now exists would have been averted.
- 64. The presence of diverted prescription opioids and the consequence of prescription opioids having been diverted, proximately results in significant costs in order to enforce the law, equip its police force and treat the victims of opioid abuse and addiction.

- 65. Stemming the flow of illegally distributed prescription opioids, and abating the nuisance caused by the illegal flow of opioids, will help to alleviate this problem, save lives, prevent injuries.
- 66. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct is a direct and proximate cause of deaths and injuries to Decedent, and a significant and unreasonable interference with public health, safety and welfare, and with the public's right to be free from disturbance and reasonable apprehension of danger to person and property.
- 67. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions created and expanded the abuse of opioids, which are dangerously addictive, and the ensuing associated plague of prescription opioid and heroin addiction. Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew the dangers to public health and safety that diversion of opioids would create, however, Defendant Drug Manufacturers intentionally and/or unlawfully failed to maintain effective controls against diversion through proper monitoring, reporting and refusal to fill suspicious orders of opioids, which there are obligations to monitor and notify the authority under state and federal law that to the extent everyone agrees it has reached epidemic proportions and killed about 60,000 a year. Defendant Drug Manufacturers intentionally and/or unlawfully distributed opioids or caused opioids to be distributed without reporting or refusing to fill suspicious orders or taking other measures to maintain effective controls against diversion. Defendant Drug Manufacturers intentionally and/or unlawfully continued to ship and failed to halt suspicious orders of opioids, or caused such orders to be shipped. Defendant Drug Manufacturers intentionally and/or unlawfully marketed opioids in manners they knew to be false and misleading. Such actions were inherently dangerous.

- 68. Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew the prescription opioids have a high likelihood of being diverted. It was foreseeable to Defendant Drug Manufacturers that where Defendant Drug Manufacturers distributed prescription opioids or caused such opioids to be distributed without maintaining effective controls against diversion, including monitoring, reporting, and refusing shipment of suspicious orders, that the opioids would be diverted, and create an opioid abuse nuisance.
- 69. Defendant Drug Manufacturers acted with actual malice because Defendant Drug Manufacturers acted with evil motives or a great probability of causing substantial harm, thereby creating a basis for punitive damages...
- 70. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct is ongoing and persistent, and the Plaintiff seeks all damages flowing from Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct.
- 71. As a direct result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct, the Plaintiff has suffered actual injury and damages. The Plaintiff here seeks recovery for his own harm.
- 72. Plaintiff seeks all legal and equitable relief as allowed by law, including inter alia (compensatory damages) and punitive damages from the Defendant Drug Manufacturers.
- 73. Defendant Drug Manufacturers created an absolute nuisance. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions created and expanded the abuse of opioids, drugs specifically codified as constituting severely harmful substances.
- 74. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions is substantial and unreasonable – it has caused and continues to cause significant harm to the community, and the harm inflicted outweighs any offsetting benefit. The staggering rates of opioid and heroin use resulting from the Defendant Drug Manufacturers' abdication of their gate-keeping and diversion prevention duties,

and the Defendant Drug Manufacturers' fraudulent marketing activities, have caused harm to the entire community that includes, but is not limited to:

- a. The high rates of use leading to unnecessary opioid abuse, addiction, overdose, injuries, and deaths;
- b. Even children have fallen victim to the opioid epidemic. Easy access to prescription opioids made opioids a recreational drug of choice among teenagers;
- c. Even infants have been born addicted to opioids due to prenatal exposure, causing severe withdrawal symptoms and lasting developmental impacts;
- d. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct created an abundance of drugs available for criminal use and fueled a new wave of addiction, abuse and injury in violation of its statutes under state and federal law;
- e. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' fraudulent misinformation campaign pushing dangerous drugs resulted in a diverted supply of narcotics to sell, and the ensuing demand of addicts to buy them. More prescription opioids sold by Defendant Drug Manufacturers led to more addiction, with many addicts turning from prescription opioids to heroin. People addicted to opioids frequently require increasing levels of opioids, and many turned to heroin as a foreseeable result;
- f. The diversion of opioids into the secondary, criminal market and the increased number of individuals who abuse or are addicted to opioids increased the demands on healthcare services and law enforcement;

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen Oettle, requests judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090 and for any further just and proper relief.

COUNT V FRAUD (DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

75. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates the allegations previously set forth herein.

76. At all times pertinent in this petition manufacturing and distributing Defendant Drug Manufacturers acted within a concert of action in that their deceptive and misrepresentative actions were done with a common intent and purpose to deceive and their deception and misrepresentations were an efficient cause contributing to the damages of Plaintiffs.

Electronically Filed - City of St. Louis - February 11, 2019 - 10:34 AM

- 77. Defendant Drug Manufacturers acted together and jointly in their marketing, advertising, and distribution by and through the use of KOL's and bogus front organizations funded by Defendant Drug Manufacturers to perpetuate the following false and unfounded benefits and claims of opioids:
 - a. That opioids improve function;
 - b. By concealing the link between long term use of opioids and addiction;
 - c. Misrepresenting that addiction can be managed;
 - d. Falsely claiming that withdrawal can easily be managed;
 - e. Misrepresenting the greater dangers of higher doses of opioids;
 - f. Downplayed the use of NSAIDs and other therapies while downplaying the use of opioids; and
 - g. Falsely claiming that OxyContin was a 12 hour pain relief pill.
- 78. Defendant Drug Manufacturers having undertaken the manufacturing, marketing, dispensing, distribution and promotion of opioids described herein owed a duty to provide accurate and complete information regarding these products.
- 79. Defendant Drug Manufacturers' promotional, marketing, and distribution plan, where they all worked in a concert of action towards the goal of increasing market share of all opioids, was meant to create the image and impression that opioids were the proper use for chronic pain, safe, non-addictive, and functional by not interfering with daily life.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 24 of 57 PageID #: 31

- 80. Through their concert of action, the Defendant Drug Manufacturers working together towards their goal of increased sales of opioids and through pooling their vast resources did fund, direct and guide KOL's and false front groups to tout the false benefits of opioids and downplay the harsh side effects such as addiction and death.
- 81. The material disseminated by Defendant Drug Manufacturers through promotional materials, medical journal articles, advertising, both print and media, testimonials, social media, and KOL's falsely and deceptively misrepresented or omitted a number of material facts regarding the previously stated in this count.
- 82. The aforementioned misrepresentations by Defendant Drug Manufacturers, working in concert of action were reasonably relied upon prescribing doctors and patients, which created the damages Plaintiff seeks.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of Defendant Drug Manufacturers, Decedent suffered physical injuries including but not limited to severe opioid addiction. As a direct result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' actions Plaintiff had had to expend funds for health, medical examiner costs, drug treatment and education.
- 84. The forgoing actions of Defendant Drug Manufacturers were done with evil motive or with reckless indifference to Decedent, justifying an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen Oettle, requests judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090 and for any further just and proper relief.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 25 of 57 PageID #: 32

COUNT VI NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION (DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

- 85. Plaintiff repeats and reiterates the allegations previously set forth herein.
- 86. Defendant Drug Manufacturers made many misrepresentations to doctors, patients, and the public in their advertising which as set forth previously, which is misbranded, misleading and contrary to the label.
- 87. Defendant Drug Manufacturers are liable for negligent misrepresentation because they supplied information in the course of their business to a class of persons including Decedent. Because the speakers referred to in this Petition were employed or supplied by the Defendant Drug Manufacturers, their failure to exercise reasonable care for the information was false. In particular, it was false regarding the fact that opioids were tested and safe and effective for long term use for chronic pain, that Oxycontin would last 12 hours, that opioids were not addictive but pseudoaddictive, etc. *See infra*.
- 88. The information was intentionally provided by the Defendant Drug Manufacturers through their agents and employees, as well as organizations that they jointly funded to spread misleading information about opioids.
- 89. The hearer, being the doctors, the public, and other professionals justifiably relied on the truth of the information.
- 90. Due to the hearer's reliance on the information, the hearer suffered a pecuniary loss which caused or contributed to cause the loss by Plaintiff herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen

Oettle, requests judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers, for a sum in excess of the

jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of

Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo. §537.080 and §537.090 and for any further just and proper relief.

COUNT VII VIOLATION OF THE MISSOURI MERCHANDISING PRACTICES ACT (DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

- 91. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations applicable to all County as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. Defendant Drug Manufacturers have employed and used deception in connection with the sale and advertisement of opioid medications in the State of Missouri.
- 93. Defendant Drug Manufacturers falsely marketed their misbranded opioid medications to decedent as safe for the treatment of chronic pain.
- 94. Defendant Drug Manufacturers act of distributing their products through doctors and pharmacies was itself a deceptive and unfair practice under the MMPA because it carried an inherent promise that the prescriptions were safe for use to treat pain as Defendant manufactures advertised.
- 95. Defendant Drug Manufacturers engaged in unfair practices in the marketing and sale of opioid medications to decedent, by concealing, suppressing, or omitting the material fact that prolonged use of opioid medications carries an unreasonably high risk of addiction and death.
- 96. Defendant Drug Manufacturers falsely marketed their misbranded prescription opioids to doctors including to decedent's doctors and treatment facilities.
- 97. As a result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers false, deceptive, and unfair practices, decedent purchased prescription opioid medication relying on the expertise of Defendant Drug Manufacturers and the representations they made to her doctors.

- 98. Defendant Drug Manufacturers relied on deception to market and sell opioids to decedent.
- 99. Decedent purchased opioids medications from Defendant Drug Manufacturers for personal use.
- 100. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers conduct, decedent disbursed significant funds for opioid medications produced, marketed, and sold by Defendant Drug Manufacturers and suffered an ascertainable financial loss.
- 101. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct, decedent became addicted to opioid medications, and died.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen Oettle, prays for judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo § 537.080 and § 537.090, and for any further just and proper relief.

COUNT VIII FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION (DEFENDANT DRUG MANUFACTURERS)

COMES NOW Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of decedent Kristeen Oettle, by and through undersigned counsel, and for his Wrongful Death Petition against Defendant Drug Manufacturers, alleges and states as follows:

- 102. Plaintiff adopts and incorporates by reference the allegations applicable to all County as though fully set forth herein.
- 103. Defendant Drug Manufacturers have employed and used fraud and fraudulent misrepresentations in connection with the sale and advertisement of opioids in the State of

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 28 of 57 PageID #: 35

Missouri. Defendant Drug Manufacturers made the following representations in connection with the advertising and sale of opioids:

- a. that opioid drugs are safe;
- b. that the benefits of taking opioid drugs outweigh the risks;
- that the risks of taking opioid drugs are minimal;
 that the risk of addiction, dependence and or overdose from taking opioid drugs is minimal;
- d. that opioid drugs are appropriate to treat chronic pain;
- e. that opioids are safe for long-term use;
- f. that opioid drugs were safe to be used in an unsupervised manner; and
- g. that opioid drugs were not difficult to stop taking after long-term use.
- 104. These representations were material and were known to Defendant Drug Manufacturers to be false and/or Defendant Drug Manufacturers knew that they lacked a reasonable basis for the representations.
- 105. Defendant Drug Manufacturers made false representations in connection with the sale of opioids, with the intent and expectation that doctors and consumers would act on the representations and purchase their products.
- 106. Decedent was unaware of the truth or falsity of the representations of Defendant Drug Manufacturers, and relied upon Defendant Drug Manufacturers' representations in making her decision to purchase and consume said opioid medicines sold by Defendant Drug Manufacturers.
- 107. Decedent had a right to rely upon the representations of Defendant Drug Manufacturers.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 29 of 57 PageID #: 36

108. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Drug Manufacturers' conduct, Decedent became addicted to opioid medicines and died.

109. Prior to her tragic death, decedent paid for opioid medications produced, marketed, and sold by Defendant Drug Manufacturers and sustained injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Kuepfer, as surviving mother of deceased Kristeen Oettle, prays for judgment against Defendant Drug Manufacturers for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court to fully compensate him and his family for the death and loss of Kristeen Oettle pursuant to R.S.Mo § 537.080 and § 537.090, and for any further just and proper relief.

Electronically Filed - City of St. Louis - February 11, 2019 - 10:34 AM

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 30 of 57 PageID #: 37

Respectfully Submitted,

CAREY DANIS & LOWE

By: /s/ Jeffrey J. Lowe
Jeffrey J. Lowe, #35114
John F. Garvey, #35879
Sarah Shoemake Doles, #45747
Alyson M. Petrick, #68323
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8235 Forsyth Blvd
St. Louis, MO 63105
(314) 725-7700
(314) 721-0905 - Facsimile
jlowe@careydanis.com
jgarvey@careydanis.com
sdoles@careydanis.com
apetrick@careydanis.com
apetrick@careydanis.com



ase: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 31 of 57 PageID #: 38

IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE	
vs.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case

The State of Missouri to:	ACTAVIS LLC ACTAVIS PHARMA	NC

Alias: FKA WATSON PHARMA INC

CORPORATE CREATIONS NETWORK IN **12747 OLIVE BLVD STE 300**

ST LOUIS, MO 63141 **COURT SEAL OF**



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may

(3)(4)(3)	be taken against you for th	e relief dema	-	_	
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2019		Th	mas Ploeppinger	
	Date	_		Clerk	-
	Further Information:				
		r Server's Ro			
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be returned to the	e court within 3	0 days after the	date of issue.	
I certify that I have serve	d the above summons by: (check or	ne)			
=	ne summons and a copy of the petition summons and a copy of the petition unently resides with the defendant/re	4 44 1 142		the state of the s	spondent with ver the age of
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy of the sum	nmons and a co	opy of the compla	aint to:	
		(name)			(title).
					<u> </u>
					(address)
in	(County/City of St. Lo	ouis), MO, on _		(date) at	(time)
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary publi		S by an authorized	signature of Sheriff or Server	
	Subscribed and sworn to before me				
(Seal)	Cabbonibed and sworn to bolore in	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(44.6)	
, ,	My commission expires:	Date		Notary Public	
heriff's Fees, if applicab	le				
ummons	\$				
lon Est	\$				
Sheriff's Deputy Salary					
Supplemental Surcharge	\$,			
/lileage	\$ (mile	es @ \$	_per mile)		
otal	\$				
copy of the summons an	d a copy of the petition must be serv	ved on each de	efendant/respond	dent. For methods of servi	ice on all

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 32 of 57 PageID #: 39



IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

THE COURT OF THE PROPERTY OF T			
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: JEFFREY J LOWE	
	VS.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	(Date File Stamp)
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	
		nal Service Outside the State of M	lissouri
The State of Missouri to:	ALLERGAN USA		
5 GIRALDA FARMS MADISON, NJ 07940	Alias:		
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to	appear before this court and to file your ple	eading to the petition, copy of
	plaintiff/petitioner at the	to serve a copy of your pleading upon the a above address all within 30 days after ser	rvice of this summons upon
		ay of service. If you fail to file your pleading ne relief demanded in this action.	g, judgment by default will be
	February 11,		
CITY OF ST LOUIS	Date		Cierk
	Further Information:	Affident of Comics	
l certify that:	Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service	
l am authorized to	serve process in civil actior	ns within the state or territory where the above s of C cone)	summons was served. county, (state).
3. I have served the a	above summons by: (check by of the summons and a c	cone) copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent	:
☐ leaving a conv	of the summons and a con	y of the petition at the dwelling place or usual a , a person of the det y resides with the defendant/respondent.	abode of the
over the age of (for service on	f 15 years who permanently a corporation) delivering a	y resides with the defendant/respondent. copy of the summons and a copy of the petitior	n to
		(name)	(title).
			(-1d)
		(state), on(
in	County,	(state), on ((date) at (time).
Printed Nar	me of Sheriff or Server	Signatur	re of Sheriff or Server
	Subscribed and sworn to	before me this (day)	(month) (year).
		ne clerk of the court of which affiant is an officer ne judge of the court of which affiant is an office	
(Seal)	□ a	uthorized to administer oaths in the state in whi	
(Seal)		summons. (use for out-of-state officer) authorized to administer oaths. (use for court-ap	ppointed server)
			re and Title
Service Fees		Signatur	e and tille
Summons \$ Non Est \$			
Mileage \$	(miles @ \$ per mile)	
Total \$	See the following page for di	rections to officer making return on service of sur	mmons.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 33 of 57 PageID #: 40

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 34 of 57 PageID #: 41



IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

WECCX.				
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291		
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/A JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH	ddress:	
	VS.	SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105		
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING		(Date File Stamp)
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		
		nal Service Outside the Sta	ite of Missouri	i
The State of Missouri to:				
ARTHUR HIGGINS 7999 GATEWAY BLVD SUITI NEWARK, CA 94560	≣ 300			
COURT SEAL OF	which is attached, and the plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the date.	appear before this court and to file to serve a copy of your pleading use above address all within 30 days by of service. If you fail to file your e relief demanded in this action. 2019	ipon the attorney fafter service of the	for the nis summons upon
CITY OF ST LOUIS	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:	or Server's Affidavit of Service		
2. My official title is 3. I have served the a delivering a copy leaving a copy defendant/responder the age o	above summons by: (check py of the summons and a co of the summons and a cop condent with	one) opy of the petition to the defendant/re y of the petition at the dwelling place, a person resides with the defendant/responde copy of the summons and a copy of t	espondent. or usual abode of the defendant's/ient.	(state).
other:		(name)		(uae):
Served at		<u> </u>		(address)
in	County,	(state), on	(date) at _	(time).
Printed Na	ne of Sheriff or Server		Signature of Sheriff	or Server
(Seal)	I am: (check one)	before me this (day) ne clerk of the court of which affiant is ne judge of the court of which affiant is uthorized to administer oaths in the si ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for	an officer. s an officer. tate in which the affi	iant served the above
Service Fees			Signature and Title	
Summons \$ Non Est \$ Mileage \$ Total \$		miles @ \$ per mile		•
•				

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 35 of 57 PageID #: 42

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 36 of 57 PageID #: 43 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

* TOTAL TOTA			
Judge or Division:		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
REX M BURLISON			
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	
PATRICIA KUEPFER		JEFFREY J LOWE	
		8235 FORSYTH	
		SUITE 1100	
	VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address:	
MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD	
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)

		8235 FORSYTH		
		SUITE 1100		
	VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105		
D - f t/D t		Court Address:		
Defendant/Respondent:		CIVIL COURTS BUILDING		
MALLINCKRODT PLC				
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD		
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		(Date File Stamp)
33 TTTOINGTOL SOURT	Sui	mmons in Civil Case	1	<u> </u>
The State of Missouri to	. INCVC			
The State of Missouri to				
CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 120 S CENTRAL AVENUE ST LOUIS, MO 63105	Alias:			
COURT SEAL OF	Vou are summone	d to appear before this court	and to file your pl	eading to the petition, a
COURT OF SECOND	copy of which is at plaintiff/petitioner exclusive of the da	ttached, and to serve a copy of at the above address all withing ay of service. If you fail to file ou for the relief demanded in	of your pleading un 30 days after re your pleading, just the petition.	upon the attorney for sceiving this summons, dgment by default may
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2	019	Thomas Mory	yringer
	Date		Clerk	
			Olom.	
	Further Information:			
		neriff's or Server's Return		
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be retu	rned to the court within 30 days after	er the date of issue.	
I certify that I have serve	d the above summons by:	(check one)		
leaving a copy of the	ne summons and a copy of the summons and a copy of the	f the petition to the defendant/respone petition at the dwelling place or u	usual abode of the de	efendant/respondent with
15 years who perma ☐ (for service on a corp	nently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the	efendant's/responder complaint to:	it's family over the age of
15 years who perma ☐ (for service on a corp	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the (name)	efendant's/responden	t's family over the age of (title).
15 years who perma (for service on a corp other:	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the (name)	efendant's/responden	t's family over the age of (title).
15 years who perma (for service on a corp other: Served at	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the de (name)	efendant's/responden	t's family over the age of (title) (address)
15 years who perma (for service on a corp other: Served at	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the de (name)	efendant's/responden	t's family over the age of (title) (address)
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other: Served at in	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy (County/Cit	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the (name)	efendant's/responden	t's family over the age of (title) (address) e) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other: Served at in	enently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy (County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on	ofendant's/respondent complaint to: (date	t's family over the age of (title) (address) e) at (time).
15 years who perma (for service on a corp other: Served at in Printed Name	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy (County/Cit	, a person of the de fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the de (name)	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date	t's family over the age of (title) (address) e) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other: Served at in	(County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendant/respondent. (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma (for service on a corp other: Served at in Printed Name	anently resides with the de oration) delivering a copy (County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma	(County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendant/respondent. (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma (for service on a corp other: Served at in Printed Name	(County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma	(County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other:	(County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other:	(County/Cit	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other:	(County/Cit It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to My commission expires: It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to Subscribed and Subscribed a	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other:	(County/Cit It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to My commission expires: It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to Subscribed and Subscribed a		sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other:	(County/Cit It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to My commission expires: It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to Subscribed and Subscribed a	, a person of the defendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the defendent (name) ty of St. Louis), MO, on otary public if not served by an author before me on	sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma [(for service on a corp [other:	(County/Cit It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to My commission expires: It of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a not Subscribed and sworn to Subscribed and Subscribed a		sfendant's/respondent complaint to: (date Signature of Sherorized officer:	(title). (address) at (time).
15 years who perma	(County/Cite of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a new Subscribed and sworn to My commission expires: Subscribed Subscribed		Signature of Sher	(title). (address) a) at (time). riff or Server date).
15 years who perma	(County/Cit (Coun		Signature of Sher	(title). (address) a) at (time). riff or Server date).

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 37 of 57 PageID #: 44

-decex-			_
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE	
	VS.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
	C	on and in Chall Case	

Summons in Civil Case

The State of Missouri to:	JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA	INC

Alias: NKA JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC

CT CORPORATION 120 SOUTH CENTRAL ST. LOUIS, MO 63105 COURT SEAL OF

Mileage



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition. February 11, 2019 CITY OF ST LOUIS Date Further Information: Sheriff's or Server's Return Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue. I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with , a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to: _____ (name) _____ (title). other: (County/City of St. Louis), MO, on ____ (date) at ____ (time). Signature of Sheriff or Server Printed Name of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer: Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Seal) My commission expires: Notary Public Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

miles @ \$.____ per mile)

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 38 of 57 PageID #: 45 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Nu	ımber: 1922-CC00291		
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER				
	vs. SAINT L	OUIS, MO 63105		
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		iaress: DURTS BUILDING CKER BLVD		
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		OUIS, MO 63101	(Date I	File Stamp)
	Summons	in Civil Case		
The State of Missouri to	: JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA Alias:	ALS INC		
CT CORPORATION 120 S CENTRAL ST LOUIS, MO 63105 COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is attached, a plaintiff/petitioner at the aborexclusive of the day of servi	ar before this court and to file and to serve a copy of your pl ove address all within 30 days ice. If you fail to file your plea relief demanded in the petiti	leading upon the at s after receiving thi ading, judgment by ion.	torney for s summons,
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2019	Thoma	r Kloeppinger	
	Date	<u> </u>	Clerk	
	Further Information:	Server's Return		
I certify that I have served ☐ delivering a copy of the ☐ leaving a copy of the s 15 years who perman ☐ (for service on a corpo	Summons should be returned to the the above summons by: (check one is summons and a copy of the petition ummons and a copy of the petition and a copy of the petition and a copy of the summons and all copy of the summons and all copy of the summons are summons as a copy of the petition are summons are summons as a copy of the summons a	court within 30 days after the date n to the defendant/respondent. t the dwelling place or usual abod n, a person of the defendant's/respondent.	e of the defendant/resprespondent's family over	condent with er the age of (title).
other:				 ·
in	(County/City of St. Lou	ils), MO, on	(date) at	(time).
	of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public Subscribed and sworn to before me	if not served by an authorized office		
	My commission expires:	ate	Notary Public	
Sheriff's Fees, if applicabl Summons Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage	\$\$ \$\$	s @ \$per mile)		

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

Total

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 39 of 57 PageID #: 46



100 CCC 100 CC			
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Addre JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH	ss:
	VS.	SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	(Date File Stamp)
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	
		nal Service Outside the State oxcept Attachment Action)	of Missouri
The State of Missouri to: ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 0893	JOHNSON & JOHNSON Alias: I PLAZA 3		
COURT SEAL OF	which is attached, and a plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the da	appear before this court and to file you to serve a copy of your pleading upon above address all within 30 days after any of service. If you fail to file your please relief demanded in this action. 2019	the attorney for the r service of this summons upon
CITY OF ST LOUIS	Date		Clerk
	Further Information:	O Affid-wit of Complete	
1	Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service	
Mv official title is		s within the state or territory where the ab	ove summons was servedCounty,(state).
delivering a co leaving a copy defendant/resp over the age o	of the summons and a cop condent with	cone) copy of the petition to the defendant/respor y of the petition at the dwelling place or us , a person of the y resides with the defendant/respondent. copy of the summons and a copy of the pe	sual abode of the ne defendant's/respondent's family
	a corporation) delivering a	(name)	(title).
other: Served at			(address)
-		(state), on	
	me of Sheriff or Server	Sig	gnature of Sheriff or Server
(Seal)	l am: (check one)	ne clerk of the court of which affiant is an one judge of the court of which affiant is an outhorized to administer oaths in the state is summons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for controlled to administer oaths.)	officer. officer. n which the affiant served the above
		Sig	nature and Title
Service Fees Summons \$ Non Est \$ Mileage \$	 		
Total \$	Con the following page for di-	miles @ \$ per mile) rections to officer making return on service	of summons

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 40 of 57 PageID #: 47

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 41 of 57 PageID #: 48 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

		_
Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
REX M BURLISON		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	
PATRICIA KUEPFER	JEFFREY J LOWE	
	8235 FORSYTH	
	SUITE 1100	
VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	
MALLINCKRODT PLC	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit:	10 N TUCKER BLVD	
CC Wrongful Death	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
Sui	mmons in Civil Case	

		8235 FURST I.H		
	i i	SUITE 1100		
		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105		
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address:		
MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	i	
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD		
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		(Date File Stamp)
30 Wrongiai Beath	Sun	nmons in Civil Cas		(2000)
The State of Missouri to				
The Otate of Impodult to	Alias:			
CT CORPORATION 120 SOUTH CENTRAL ST LOUIS, MO 63105 COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is att plaintiff/petitioner a exclusive of the day	to appear before this cou ached, and to serve a cop t the above address all wi y of service. If you fail to f ou for the relief demanded	by of your pleading upon ithin 30 days after receiville your pleading, judgment in the petition.	the attorney for ng this summons, ent by default may
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 20	019	Thomas Ploepping	gei
CITY OF ST LOUIS			Clerk	<u>/</u>
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:	eriff's or Server's Return		
Note to consider officer		ned to the court within 30 days	offer the date of issue	
			alter the date or issue.	
	ed the above summons by: (cneck one) the petition to the defendant/re		
☐ leaving a copy of the 15 years who perma ☐ (for service on a corp	summons and a copy of the anently resides with the deferoration) delivering a copy o	e petition at the dwelling place a person of the	or usual abode of the defenda e defendant's/respondent's far the complaint to:	nily over the age of
other:		(name)		
				(address)
in	(County/City	of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at	(time).
Printed Nam	ne of Sheriff or Server		Signature of Sheriff or S	erver
		tary public if not served by an a		
	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on	(date).	
(Seal)				
	My commission expires:	Date	Notary Publ	ic
Ol - 160 - F 16 11 - 1	-1-	24.0		
Sheriff's Fees, if applicat	oie ©			
Summons	Φ			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	e 40.00			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00	malla a 🔘 🐧	:1->	
Mileage		miles @ \$ per m	iie)	
Total	\$			<u> </u>
A copy of the summons ar classes of suits, see Supre		st be served on each defendar	nt/respondent. For methods of	service on all
ciasses of suits, see supre	anie Court Nuie 04.			

ase: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ	Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19	Page: 42 of 57 PageID #: 49
IN THE 22ND HIDICIAL	CIRCUIT CITY OF STI OUIS	MIŠSOURI

The state of the s		
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 vs. SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
	Summons in Civil Case	
The State of Missouri to: MALLIN	NCKRODT PLC	-

Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDIN 10 N TUCKER BLVD	NG	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 6310	01	(Date File Stamp)
	Sui	mmons in Civil Ca	ase	
The State of Missouri to	: MALLINCKRODT P	LC		
0	Alias:			
CT CORPORATION 120 S CENTRAL				
ST LOUIS, MO 63105				
COURT SEAL OF				leading to the petition, a
	plaintiff/petitioner exclusive of the da	ttached, and to serve a c at the above address all ny of service. If you fail to ou for the relief demand	within 30 days after re o file your pleading, ju ed in the petition.	eceiving this summons, idgment by default may
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2	019 	Thomas May	njunger
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:	neriff's or Server's Retur		
☐ leaving a copy of the 1 15 years who perma ☐ (for service on a corpo	nently resides with the de pration) delivering a copy	f the petition to the defendance petition at the dwelling place, a person of fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the summons.	ce or usual abode of the dethe defendant's/responde	nt's family over the age of(title).
		ty of St. Louis), MO, on		
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server	otary public if not served by ar	Signature of She	eriff or Server
		before me on		(date).
(Seal)	My commission expires:			ry Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicab	le			
Summons	\$			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge	\$ 1 <u>0.00</u>			
Mileage	\$ <u>10.00</u> \$ (miles @ \$ per	mile)	
Total	\$ \$	por	····· - /	
	d a copy of the petition mu	ust be served on each defend	dant/respondent. For meth	nods of service on all

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 43 of 57 PageID #: 50



1000000			
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address:	
PATRICIA KUEPFER		JEFFREY J LOWE	
		8235 FORSYTH	
	1.0	SUITE 1100	
D. C. J. UD	VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	(Date File Stamp)
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD	
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	
		nal Service Outside the State of Nacept Attachment Action)	lissouri
The State of Missouri to:	MYLAN N V	,	
	Alias:		
CORPORATION SERVICE CO	OMPANY		
HARRISBURG, PA 17101	V		anding to the notition, conv. of
COURT SEAL OF		appear before this court and to file your ple to serve a copy of your pleading upon the	
	plaintiff/petitioner at the	above address all within 30 days after se	rvice of this summons upon
	you, exclusive of the da	ay of service. If you fail to file your pleading	ı, judgment by default will be
(3) (3) (5)	taken against you for th	e relief demanded in this action.	चित्र व्य ेक्ट
- Section -	February 11,	2019	Moinie
CITY OF ST LOUIS	Date	(Promatacia Com-	Clerk
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Further Information:		·
	Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service	
I certify that:	aan la neagon in civil action	as within the state or territory where the above	cummone was served
 I am authorized to My official title is 	serve process in civil action	ns within the state or territory where the above s	County, (state).
	bove summons by: (check		•
		opy of the petition to the defendant/respondent	
☐ leaving a copy defendant/resp		y of the petition at the dwelling place or usual a , a person of the de	abode of the efendant's/respondent's family
over the age o	f 15 years who permanently	resides with the defendant/respondent.	Torradin or coporadin o raining
(for service on	a corporation) delivering a	copy of the summons and a copy of the petition	
		(name)	(title).
other:		·	·
Served at			(address)
in	County,	(state), on	(date) at (time).
Printed Nar	ne of Sheriff or Server	Signatu	re of Sheriff or Server
T THICOG TEST	Subscribed and sworn to	before me this (day)	(month) (year).
		ne clerk of the court of which affiant is an office	
	l i ti	ne judge of the court of which affiant is an office	
(Seal)		wheread to administer eaths in the state in wh	ich the afficant conved the above
	□ a	uthorized to administer oaths in the state in wh	ich the affiant served the above
	☐ a	uthorized to administer oaths in the state in who nummons. (use for out-of-state officer) outhorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	
	☐ a	ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	ppointed server)
	☐ a	ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	
Service Fees	☐ a	ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	ppointed server)
Service Fees Summons \$ Non Est \$	□ a	ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	ppointed server)
Summons \$ Non Est \$ Mileage \$	□ a	ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	ppointed server)
Summons \$ Non Est \$ Mileage \$ Total \$	□ a	ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for court-a	ppointed server) re and Title

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.



Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 45 of 57 PageID #: 52



A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR				
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291		
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Addre JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105)SS:	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING 10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File S	Stamp)
		al Service Outside the State scept Attachment Action)	of Missouri	
CORPORATION SERVICE COR 600 N 2ND ST SUITE 401 HARRISBURG, PA 17101 COURT SEAL OF	MYLAN PHARMACEUTI Alias: MPANY You are summoned to a which is attached, and t plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the da taken against you for th February 11, Date Further Information:	appear before this court and to file yo to serve a copy of your pleading upon above address all within 30 days after a service. If you fail to file your pleading to e relief demanded in this action.	n the attorney for the er service of this summ	ons upon
2. My official title is 3. I have served the ab delivering a copy of defendant/responsive the age of (for service on a	erve process in civil action ove summons by: (check y of the summons and a cop f the summons and a cop indent with 15 years who permanently corporation) delivering a	opy of the petition to the defendant/respo y of the petition at the dwelling place or u, a person of to resides with the defendant/respondent. copy of the summons and a copy of the p (name)	County,ndent. sual abode of the he defendant's/responder	(state).
·				(address)
in		(state), on		- '
	l am: (check one)	before me this	officer. officer. in which the affiant served ourt-appointed server)	(year).
Service Fees Summons \$ Non Est \$ Mileage \$ Total \$		miles @ \$ per mile)	gnature and Title	

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 46 of 57 PageID #: 53

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 47 of 57 PageID #: 54 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

		,	
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH	
	VS.	SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death		10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
	Ç	mmone in Civil Cocc	

	VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 6	3105	
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address:		
MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS BUIL	DING	
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD	2404	
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 6	3101	(Date File Stamp)
		mmons in Civil	Case	
The State of Missouri to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
CT CORPORATION	Alias:			
120 S. CENTRAL				
ST. LOUIS, MO 63105				
COURT SEAL OF			s court and to file your pl	
			a copy of your pleading u all within 30 days after re	
(3)(35.5)(8)			il to file your pleading, ju	
13/18/23/18/		ou for the relief dema		agiiioiio ay aoidais iiiay
				· ·
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2	1019	Thomas Play	Junger
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:			
		eriff's or Server's Re		
-			days after the date of issue.	
<u> -</u>	the above summons by:		danat (va an an danat	
	e summons and a copy of the		place or usual abode of the de	efendant/respondent with
		, a person	of the defendant's/responden	
15 years who perma	nently resides with the def	fendant/respondent.		•
☐ (for service on a corpo	oration) delivering a copy of	of the summons and a co	oy of the complaint to:	(title)
			·	
Served at				(address)
in	(County/Cit	y of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at (time).
	•			
	(0)		0: 1 (0)	····
Printed Name	e of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a no	otary public if not served b	Signature of Sher y an authorized officer:	iπ or Server
	Subscribed and sworn to	- ·		date).
(Seal)				ŕ
	My commission expires:		Neter	- Dublic
		Date	Notar	y Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons	e ¢			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	Ψ			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00			
Mileage	\$(_	miles @ \$	per mile)	
Total	\$	· - ——		
		ist be served on each def	endant/respondent. For metho	ods of service on all
classes of suits, see Supre	me Court Rule 54.			



ase: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 48 of 57 PageID #: 55

IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

4000			_
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	VS.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
ature of Suit: C Wrongful Death		10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
	Ç	mmono in Civil Coco	

Summons in Civil Case

The State of Missouri to:	ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC
	Alias: NKA JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC

CT CORPORATION 120 S CENTRAL **ST LOUIS, MO 63105 COURT SEAL OF**



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2019	7	Lomas Kloeppinger	
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:			
	Sheriff's o	r Server's Return		
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be returned to the	court within 30 days after	the date of issue.	
I certify that I have serve	d the above summons by: (check on	ie)		
delivering a copy of the	ne summons and a copy of the petition	on to the defendant/respon	dent.	
leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the petition	at the dwelling place or usu , a person of the defe	ual abode of the defendant/res ndant's/respondent's family ov	pondent with er the age o
15 years who perma	nently resides with the defendant/re	spondent.		•
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy of the sum	mons and a copy of the co	mpiaint to.	
		(name)		(title).
other:		<u>-</u>		·
Served at				(address)
in	(County/City of St. Lo	uis), MO, on	(date) at	(time)
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server		Signature of Sheriff or Server	
T Into a Train	Must be sworn before a notary public	c if not served by an authoria		
	Subscribed and sworn to before me	e on	(date).	
(Seal)			, , ,	
	My commission expires:			
ii		Date	Notary Public	
heriff's Fees, if applicab	le			
ummons	\$			
on Est	\$			
heriff's Deputy Salary				
upplemental Surcharge	\$			
lileage	\$ (mile	es @ \$ per mile)		
otal	\$			
conv of the summons an	d a copy of the netition must be serv	red on each defendant/rest	condent. For methods of service	ce on all

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 49 of 57 PageID #: 56 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE	
vs.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case

The State o	of Missouri to:	PHARMA INC

Alias: OPERATING AS INSYS THERAPEUTICS INC

CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 120 S CENTRAL AVENUE ST LOUIS, MO 63105 COURT SEAL OF



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

(3)(3)	be taken against you for the relief of	lemanded in the petition.
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2019	Thomas Kloeppinger
	Date	Clerk
	Further Information:	
	Sheriff's or Server'	s Return
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be returned to the court wit	hin 30 days after the date of issue.
I certify that I have serve	ed the above summons by: (check one)	
=	ne summons and a copy of the petition to the of summons and a copy of the petition at the dwo summons and a copy of the petition at the dwo summons. a panently resides with the defendant/respondent.	detendant/respondent. elling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of . If a copy of the complaint to:
[(10) 3C) VICC On a colp	oration, activeling a copy of the sammone and	a copy of the complaint to:
		(title).
other:		·
Served at		(address)
in	(County/City of St. Louis), MO,	on (date) at (time)
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server	Signature of Sheriff or Server
	Must be sworn before a notary public if not ser	
(CI)	Subscribed and sworn to before me on	(date).
(Seal)	My commission expires:	
	My commission expires:	Notary Public
neriff's Fees, if applicab		
ımmons	\$	
on Est	\$	
neriff's Deputy Salary		
upplemental Surcharge	\$10.00	
ileage	\$ (miles @ \$	per mile)
otal	\$	
copy of the summons an	id a copy of the petition must be served on each	ch defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 50 of 57 PageID #: 57



7				
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON		Case Number: 1922-CC00291		
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Ad JEFFREY J LOWE	dress:	
	VS.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105		
Defendant/Respondent:	V3.	Court Address:	(Data I	
MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	(Date i	The Startip)
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	:	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		
		nal Service Outside the State xcept Attachment Action)	e of Missouri	
The State of Missouri to:	PURDUE PHARMA L P	Noope / teta of money		
THE PRENTICE-HALL CORP 251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE WILMINGTON, DE 19808	Alias: PORATION			
COURT SEAL OF	which is attached, and the plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the date.	appear before this court and to file to serve a copy of your pleading up above address all within 30 days by of service. If you fail to file your ple relief demanded in this action. 2019	oon the attorney for the after service of this sur	e mmons upon
CITY OF ST LOUIS	Date		Clock	The state of the s
	Further Information:		OIGIR SQUAR	
2. My official title is 3. I have served the a delivering a copy leaving a copy defendant/resp over the age o	above summons by: (check opy of the summons and a co of the summons and a cop condent with	copy of the petition to the defendant/restry of the petition at the dwelling place of	county, spondent. r usual abode of the of the defendant's/respor	(state).
other:		(namo)		
				(address)
in		(state), on		
····		(0.0.0), 0.1	(00.10) 0.1	()
		before me this (day) ne clerk of the court of which affiant is		
(Seal)	☐ th ☐ a s	ne judge of the court of which affiant is uthorized to administer oaths in the statummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use fo	an officer. ate in which the affiant se	rved the above
			Signature and Title	
Service Fees Summons \$		miles @ \$ per mile)		
9	see the following page for dir	rections to officer making return on serv	ice of summons.	

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual; including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 52 of 57 PageID #: 59 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

- Section		
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
Su	ımmons in Civil Case	

	VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 6310	5	
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address:		
MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS BUILDIN	G	
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD	<u>.</u>	
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 6310	1	(Date File Stamp)
	Sui	nmons in Civil Ca	se	
The State of Missouri to				
CT CORPORATION	Alias:			
120 S CENTRAL				
ST LOUIS, MO 63105	V		4	Janatina ta tha natitian a
COURT SEAL OF		d to appear before this co tached, and to serve a co		
		at the above address all v		
		y of service. If you fail to		
(3) (3)		ou for the relief demande		,
	Echmican 11 2	140	The Ul	
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 20	פוע	Thomas Kloy	ganger
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:			
	<u>~.</u>	eriff's or Server's Return		
_		ned to the court within 30 day	s after the date of issue.	
•	ed the above summons by:	-		
delivering a copy of the	he summons and a copy of	the petition to the defendant/	respondent.	- f
☐ leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of tr	e petition at the dwelling place	e or usuai abode of the d	nt's family over the age of
15 years who perma	anently resides with the de	endant/respondent.	ie deteridant shesponde	
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy	of the summons and a copy of	the complaint to:	
		(name)		
other:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Served at			<u>.</u>	(address)
in	(County/Cit	y of St. Louis), MO, on	(dat	e) at (time).
Printed Nam	ne of Sheriff or Server		Signature of She	oriff or Server
Fillited (4a))		otary public if not served by an		Similar deliver
	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on		(date).
(Seal)	A			
	My commission expires:	Date	Nota	ry Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicat				.,,
Summons	\$			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary				
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00			
Mileage	\$(_	miles @ \$ per n	nile)	
Total	\$			
		ist be served on each defenda	ant/respondent. For meth	nods of service on all
classes of suits, see Supre	ine Court Rule 54.			

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 53 of 57 PageID #: 60 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

		-
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE	
vs.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	\
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)

MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS E			•
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BL			
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, M	O 63101		(Date File Stamp)
	Sui	mmons in Civ	vil Case		
The State of Missouri to	: TEVA PHARMACE	JTICALS USA INC			
	Alias:				
CORPORATE CREATIONS N	ETWORK IN				
12747 OLIVE BLVD., STE. 300)				
ST. LOUIS, MO 63141	v		41.* 4 4	6 :1 1	!! 4 a 4 la a ma4!4! a m
COURT SEAL OF					ling to the petition, a
copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summer.					
	plaintiff/petitioner	at the above addr	ess all within 30 da	ays aπer receiv	ving this summons,
(S(A))(S)	exclusive of the da	ly of service. If you	u tall to tile your p	ieaging, juagn	nent by default may
0	be taken against y	ou for the relief de			
	February 11, 2	019	Thom	man Kloenni	mad .
CITY OF ST LOUIS	i Chidaly 11, 2	013		22/10/9	Jac
	Date			Clerk	
	Further Information:				
	SI	neriff's or Server's	Return	· -	
Note to serving officer	: Summons should be retu	rned to the court with	in 30 days after the da	ate of issue.	
I certify that I have serve	ed the above summons by:	(check one)			
delivering a copy of t	he summons and a copy o	f the petition to the de	efendant/respondent.		
leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the	ne petition at the dwel	ling place or usual ab	ode of the defend	dant/respondent with
		, a pe	rson of the defendant	's/respondent's fa	amily over the age of
15 years who perma	anently resides with the de	fendant/respondent.			
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy	of the summons and	a copy of the complai	nt to:	444.
		(name)			(title).
other:					·
Convod at					(address)
in	(County/Cit	y of St. Louis), MO, o	n	(date) at	(time).
Printed Nam	ne of Sheriff or Server	stame neeblig if wat some	Sig	nature of Sheriff or	Server
	Must be sworn before a ne		•		•
(O I)	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on		(date	÷).
(Seal)	My commission syminac				
	My commission expires:	Date		Notary Pu	blic
	1-	- Julio		- Trotterly F di	
Sheriff's Fees, if applicat					
Summons	\$				
Non Est	\$				
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	40.00				
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00		** *		
Mileage		miles @ \$	per mile)		
Total	\$				
A copy of the summons ar classes of suits, see Supre		ust be served on eacl	n defendant/responde	ent. For methods	of service on all
CIASSES OF SUITS, SEE SUDIE	and Court Nuit 34.				

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 54 of 57 PageID #: 61



Judge or Division:		Case Number: 1922-CC00291		
REX M BURLISON		Odde Hallison, 1022 GGGGG		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Add	dress:	
PATRICIA KUEPFER		JEFFREY J LOWE 8235 FORSYTH		
		SUITE 1100		
	VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105		
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	(Date Fil	e Stamp)
MALLINCKRODT PLC Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD		
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101		
Sı		nal Service Outside the State (xcept Attachment Action)	e of Missouri	
The State of Missouri to:				
THE PRENTICE-HALL CORP	Alias: ORATION			
251 LITTLE FALLS DRIVE WILMINGTON, DE 19808				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to	appear before this court and to file y	our pleading to the pet	ition, copy of
COURTOR	which is attached, and	to serve a copy of your pleading up	on the attorney for the	mone upon
	plaintiff/petitioner at the	above address all within 30 days a ly of service. If you fail to file your p	iter service of this sum leading, judgment by d	efault will be
(5)((5)(5)(5)		e relief demanded in this action.	in the second of	
- Siccion	February 11,	2019	man Mornin	
CITY OF ST LOUIS	Date		Clerk	J
	Further Information:	or Server's Affidavit of Service		
l certify that:	Officers	of Server's Amdavit of Service		
I am authorized to	serve process in civil actior	s within the state or territory where the	above summons was ser	ved.
2. My official title is _ 3. I have served the a	bove summons by: (check	ofone)	County,	(state).
delivering a co	py of the summons and a c	opy of the petition to the defendant/resp	oondent.	
leaving a copy	of the summons and a cop	y of the petition at the dwelling place or	usual abode of the f the defendant's/respond	lent's family
over the age o	f 15 years who permanently	, a person o resides with the defendant/responden	t.	·····,
(for service on	a corporation) delivering a	copy of the summons and a copy of the (name)	e petition to	(title).
other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				(address)
		(state), on		(time).
Printed Nar	ne of Sheriff or Server		Signature of Sheriff or Serve	
	Subscribed and sworn to	before me this (day)		(year).
		ne clerk of the court of which affiant is a ne judge of the court of which affiant is a		
(Seal)	a	uthorized to administer oaths in the sta		ved the above
(Sear)		ummons. (use for out-of-state officer) uthorized to administer oaths. (use for	court-appointed server)	
	а			
			Signature and Title	
Service Fees Summons \$				
Non Est \$				
Mileage \$				
Total \$	(miles @ \$ per mile)		

Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 55 of 57 PageID #: 62

Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.



Case: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 56 of 57 PageID #: 63 IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

- CCCO		_
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE	
vs.	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)

MALLINCKRODT PLC		CIVIL COURTS BUILD	ING	
Nature of Suit:		10 N TUCKER BLVD	101	
CC Wrongful Death		SAINT LOUIS, MO 63		(Date File Stamp)
	Sur	nmons in Civil C	ase	
The State of Missouri to	: WATSON LABORA	TORIES		
	Alias:			
CORPORATE CREATIONS NE 12747 OLIVE BLVD STE 300	TWORK IN			
ST LOUIS, MO 63141				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned	d to appear before this	court and to file your p	leading to the petition, a
COURTOR	copy of which is at	tached, and to serve a	copy of your pleading	upon the attorney for
	plaintiff/petitioner	at the above address a	ll within 30 days after r	eceiving this summons,
	exclusive of the da	y of service. If you fall	to file your pleading, ju	dgment by default may
		ou for the relief deman	ded in the petition.	•
CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 20)19	Thomas Play	yringer
CITT OF 31 LOUIS	,		Thomas Illory Clerk	, 0
	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:	iffly an Companya Date	Irn	
Note to continue officer	Summone about he return	med to the court within 30.	days after the date of issue.	
	d the above summons by:		ays alter the date of issue.	
		the petition to the defenda	nt/reenandent	
		a natition at the dwelling n	age or usual abade of the d	lefendant/respondent with
	ourimond and a dopy or an	, a person o	of the defendant's/responde	nt's family over the age of
15 years who perma	nently resides with the def	endant/respondent.	of the complaint to:	
(for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy (n the summons and a copy	of the complaint to.	
				(uue).
otner:				
Served at			·	(address)
			(dat	
111	(Odd/ity/Oil	y 01 0t. 20010), 1410, 011		
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server		Signature of She	eriff or Server
	Must be sworn before a no	otary public if not served by	an authorized officer:	
	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on		(date).
(Seal)				
	iviy commission expires:	Date	Nota	ary Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicab		Dato		
Summons	\$			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	Ψ		1	
Supplemental Surcharge	\$ 10.00		•	
Mileage	\$(_	miles @ \$ p	er mile)	
Total	\$	· = ·		
	d a copy of the petition mu	ist be served on each defe	ndant/respondent. For meth	nods of service on all

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

ase: 4:19-cv-02633-SNLJ Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/25/19 Page: 57 of 57 PageID #: 64

IN THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI

-500-		_
Judge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00291	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: PATRICIA KUEPFER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address JEFFREY J LOWE	
	8235 FORSYTH SUITE 1100 vs. SAINT LOUIS, MO 63105	
Defendant/Respondent: MALLINCKRODT PLC	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING	
Nature of Suit: CC Wrongful Death	10 N TUCKER BLVD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case

he State of Missouri to:	WATSON PHARMACEL	ITICALS INC

Alias: NKA ACTAVIS INC

CORPORATE CREATIONS NETWORK IN

12747 OLIVE BLVD STE 300 ST LOUIS, MO 63141



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

CITY OF ST LOUIS	February 11, 2019	Thomas Ploeppinger
	Date	Clerk
	Further Information:	
	Sheriff's or Server's Re	
•	Summons should be returned to the court within 30	0 days after the date of issue.
	d the above summons by: (check one)	
	ne summons and a copy of the petition to the defend	
☐ leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling	place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with
15 years who perma	nently resides with the defendant/respondent	n of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age o
for service on a corp	oration) delivering a copy of the summons and a co	py of the complaint to:
	(name)	(title).
Served at		(address)
in	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at (time
		Signature of Sheriff or Server
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not served b	oy an authorized officer:
	Subscribed and sworn to before me on	
(Seal)	Cubscribed and sworn to before the on	(44.5).
, ,	My commission expires:	
	Date	Notary Public
eriff's Fees, if applicab	ole	
ımmons	\$	
on Est	\$	
neriff's Deputy Salary		
upplemental Surcharge	\$ 10.00	
leage	\$ (miles @ \$	per mile)
otal	\$	
conv of the summons an	id a conv of the netition must be served on each de	fendant/respondent. For methods of service on all